

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

7590 01/25/2006 EXAMINER  Martha Ann Finnegan, Esq. MAI, NGOCLAN THI Cabot Corporation 157 Concord Road ART UNIT PAPER NUI	JCATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
Martha Ann Finnegan, Esq.  Cabot Corporation 157 Concord Road  MAI, NGOCLAN THI  ART UNIT PAPER NUI  PAPER NUI	0/653,520	09/02/2003	Jonathon L. Kimmel	00138CIPCON(3600-360-01) 3339	
Cabot Corporation 157 Concord Road  ART UNIT PAPER NUI PAPER NUI	7590 01/25/2006			EXAMINER	
157 Concord Road  ART UNIT PAPER NUI	Martha Ann Finnegan, Esq.			MAI, NGOCLAN THI	
137 Concord Road	Cabot Corporati	ion			
D'II ' 344 01001 7001	157 Concord Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Billenca, MA 01821-7001 1742	Billerica, MA 01821-7001			1742	·

DATE MAILED: 01/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		(	t
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i>-</i>
	10/653,520	KIMMEL ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Ngoclan T. Mai	1742	
<ul> <li>The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply</li> </ul>	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro tte, cause the application to become ABANDON	ON.  timely filed  m the mailing date of this communication.  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	November 2005.		
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		rosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> is/are pend	ing in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	- · · ·		
5) Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are allow			
6) Claim(s) <u>38-40,52-55,87-88, 90-91, 93-97, 12</u>		ejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documer		tion No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	ority documents have been receive	ved in this National Stage	
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not receiv	red.	
Attachment(s)	_		
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail (		
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 28-41,47-55,57,58,60,61,63,64,66,67,69,70,72,73,75,76,78,79,81,82,84,85,87,88,90,91,93-97,113-168.

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims allowed are 28-37, 41, 47-51, 57-58, 60-61, 63-64, 66-67, 69-70, 72-73, 75-76, 78-79, 81-82, 84-85, 113-125, 136-150, 159-166 and 168

Application/Control Number: 10/653,520 Page 2

Art Unit: 1742

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 2. Claims 52-55, 126-135, are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 16, 1, 15, 7-9 and 15 of U.S. Patent No. 6,639,787.

  Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the agglomerated product of comprising an oxygen-reduced valve metal oxide powder coated with at least one additive recited in the claim of the instant application reads on the pressed anode of claim 16 formed from the process of claim 1 of the patent where oxygen reduced niobium oxide powder is coated with binder and/or lubricant. Other claims where niobium oxide powder having specific formula or niobium oxide ratio recited are obvious in view of claims 7-9 of the patent.
- 3. Claims 38-40, 87, 88, 90-91, 93-97, 151-158 and 167 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6, 9, 25-28 of U.S. Patent No. 6,759,026. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claimed agglomerated oxygen-reduced valve metal oxide having agglomerated size of less than 425 microns recited in the instant application reads on the niobium oxide of claim 1 wherein the

Application/Control Number: 10/653,520 Page 3

Art Unit: 1742

niobium oxide has a primary size of 1 micron or less recited in the claim 28 of the patent since by reciting the primary size of the powder this implies that the niobium oxide claimed in the patent is an agglomerated oxygen reduced niobium oxide. As for the niobium oxide ratio and the agglomerate size, claims 2-6, 9 and 25-27 of the patent, respectively disclose such limitations. The claims agglomated oxygen-reduced valve metal oxide of the instant claims are obvious over claims 28 of the patent in view of the claims 2-6, 9, 25-27 of the patent.

- 4. Claims 28-37, 41, 47-51, 57-58, 60-61, 63-64, 66-67, 69-70, 72-73, 75-76, 78-79, 81-82, 84-85, 113-125, 136-150, 159-166 and 168 are allowed.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ngoclan T. Mai whose telephone number is (571) 272-1246. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00 PM Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ngoclan T. Mai Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742

n.m.